

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/851,445	LEE ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Peter-Anthony Pappas	2671	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** Pending

 (1) Peter-Anthony Pappas.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Mike Scaturro.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 22 June 2005
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1,11,21,31-33

Prior art documents discussed:

See Continuation Sheet
**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Identification of prior art discussed: Applicant was asked to clarify the left (TLm) and right (TRm) transformations. Applicant indicated that TLm and TRm were represented by equations (6-11) and (18), respectively. Applicant was informed that if claims 31-33 were incorporated into claims 1, 11 and 21, respectively, that claims 1, 11 and 21 would be indicated allowable. Applicant agreed.